

Criteria	Meets Expectations
Articulation of Teaching Problem	 Clearly explains how the original problem was identified. Describes the rationale for instructional redesign. Articulates the desired impact of the redesign. (If the teaching problem was changed since submitting the IR Interest Form/application, provides an adequate rationale for the change.)
Instructional Redesign Pathway	 Describes the pathway and professional learning associated with the pathway. Explains how the pathway helped them learn about their teaching problem and identify ways to address the problem and assess the impact of instructional changes.
IR Implementation	 Describes in a clear and detailed manner the intervention (instructional change or changes) from teacher and learner perspectives. Evidences significant instructional change well beyond a single activity in one class session. Focuses on the methods of instruction as opposed to course content.
Assessment	 Describes assessment of instructional redesign with detail that allows for replication. Explains why the assessment tool or method was chosen. Uses valid assessment tools or data collection methods Demonstrates alignment of assessment method and intervention. Allows for appropriate time between intervention and assessment.
Data Analysis	 Includes assessment data (qualitative or quantitative). Employs appropriate data analysis. Explains how the data collected indicate an increase or decrease in the teaching problem originally investigated. Summarizes the findings or key take-aways from the data analysis undertaken.
IR Reflection	 Identifies next steps, including future changes in teaching approach. Articulates the value of the IR experience. Describes what was learned about teaching and self as teacher from engaging in the IR process. Critiques values, beliefs, biases, or insight that the IR process elicited. Identifies aspects of the IR process that will assist in addressing future teaching problems.